## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Getsin et al.

Application No.: 09/489,597

Filed: January 20, 2000

Title: SYSTEM, METHOD AND ARTICLE OF

MANUFACTURE FOR A

CONFIGURATION MANAGER COMPONENT IN A MULTIMEDIA SYNCHRONIZATION FRAMEWORK

Group Art Unit: 2176

Examiner: BASHORE, William L.

Customer No.: 22242

Conf. No.: 6029

## Certificate of Transmission/Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO, transmitted via the Office electronic filing system, or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below:

Date: 11-29-07 /Steven M. Freeland/

Steven M. Freeland Attorney for Applicants Reg. No. 42,555

## RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF

Mail Stop: APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS Hon. Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In responsive to the Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed November 1, 2007, as entered in the above-captioned matter, the Applicants' Appeal Brief filed August 20, 2007 was identified as defective for failure to put each of the Claims Appendix, the Evidence Appendix and the Related Proceedings Appendix on separate pages.

Applicants thank the Examiner for the notice and the details regarding the non-compliant aspects of the previously submitted Substitute Appeal Brief.

In accordance with the notice of non-compliance Applicants submit herewith a Substitute Appeal Brief that is formatted such that each of the (8) Claims Appendix, (9) Evidence Appendix and (10) Related Proceedings Appendix begin on separate pages. Additionally, although not required Applicants have further amended the accompanying Substitute Appeal Brief to format the Brief in compliance with the example format identified in section 1205.02 of the MPEP such that each of the (1) Real Party in Interest, (2) Related Appeals and Interferences, (3) Status of Claims, (4) Status of Amendments, (5) Summary of Claimed Subject Matter, (6) Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal, and (7) Arguments sections also begin on separate pages.

Applicants respectfully submit that the accompanying Substitute Appeal Brief complies with 37 CFR §41.37 and further complies with the MPEP, and in particular MPEP section 1205.02.

Dated: Nov. 29, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

/Steven M. Freeland/

Steven M. Freeland Reg. No. 42,555

Address all correspondence to:

FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY

Thomas F. Lebens 120 So. LaSalle Street, Ste. 1600 Chicago, IL 60603 (858) 552-1311